UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,713	08/04/2003	Antonio J. Montalvo	2550/183	5691
2101 BROMBERG	7590 04/30/2007 & SUNSTEIN LLP		EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			PHAM, TUAN	
			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
				,
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/633,713	MONTALVO, ANTONIO J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN A. PHAM	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
·—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,713

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's remark, filed on 02/16/2007, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-24 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bandeira et al. (US Pub. No.: 2002/0072329).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-16, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,959,499, hereinafter, "Khan") in view of Strakovsky (U.S. Patent No.: 5,678,209) and further in view of Bandeira et al. (US Pub. No.: 2002/0072329, hereinafter, "Bandeira").

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13, and 19, Khan teaches a method and a radio transmission power control circuit comprising (see figure 1):

a radio frequency quadrature downconverter (see figure 1, quadrature downconverter 25) that produces a quadrature downconverter output (see output 26, and output 27) having a frequency equal to the frequency difference between a first quadrature downconverter input (see figure 1, input at coupler 112 to downconverter 25)

Application/Control Number: 10/633,713

Art Unit: 2618

based on a transmitted signal of a radio transmitter (see figure 1, TX side at power amplifier 17) and a second quadrature downconverter input based on a local oscillator signal (see figure 1, second input from LO 28, col.2, ln.35-67); and

a receiver baseband circuit that processes the downconverter output to produce a power signal representative of the transmitted signal (see figure 1, col.2, ln.35-67).

It should be noticed that Khan fails to teach a feedback control circuit that produces a transmitter gain control signal to control transmitted signal power so as to minimize the difference between the power signal and a power reference signal. However, Strakovsky teaches such features (see figure 1, level controller 18, reference signal 22, col.1, ln.29-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Strakovsky into view of Khan in order to produce a transmitter with improved linearity while maintaining immunity to instability during normal operation as suggested by Khan at col.2, In.18-25.

Khan and Strakovsky, in combination, fail to teach a receiver baseband circuit of a half duplex radio transceiver that alternately transmits and receives radio signals, the receiver baseband circuit operating when receiving to process received radio signal and transmitted. However, Bandeira teaches such features (see figure 6, [0075-0082]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Bandeira into view of Khan and Strakovsky in order to save power for the device.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 2, 8, 14, and 20, Khan further teaches the radio transmitter is part of the local oscillator signal is used by the radio transmitter such that the transmitted signal has a frequency determined by the local oscillator signal (see figure 1, col.2, ln.35-67, LO 22).

Regarding claims 3, 9, 15, and 21, after combine, Khan further teaches an analog-to-digital converter that converts the power signal to a representative digital power signal (see figure 1, ADC 33); and Strakovsky further teaches the feedback control circuit produces the transmitter gain control signal so as to minimize the difference between the digital power signal and the power reference signal (see figure 1, level controller 18, reference signal 22, col.1, ln.29-37).

Regarding claims 4, 10, 16, and 22, Khan further teaches the first quadrature downconverter input is developed by a directional coupler that senses the transmitted signal (see figure 1, coupler 18, quadrature downconverter 25, col.2, ln.35-67).

4. <u>Claims 5-6, 11-12, 17-18, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,959,499, hereinafter, "Khan") in view of Strakovsky (U.S. Patent No.: 5,678,209) and further in view of Bandeira et al. (US Pub. No.: 2002/0072329, hereinafter, "Bandeira") as applied to claims 1, 7, 13, and 19 above, and further in view of Haartsen (Pub. No.: U.S. 2005/0048985).</u>

Regarding claims 5, 11, 17, and 23, Khan and Strakovsky, in combination, fails to teach WLAN transceiver. However, Haartsen teaches such feature (see claim 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,713

Art Unit: 2618

Page 5

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Haartsen into view of Khan and Strakovsky in order to communicate between the two devive.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 18, and 24, Haartsen further teaches time division duplex (see [0011]).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618 April 25, 2007

Examiner

Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2600

Matthew Anderson